

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner allowed claims 17-22 and rejected claim 15 under 35 USC 102. These rejections are fully traversed below.

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 12, 13, 15, 22, 26 and 27 have been amended. Claims 7, 11, 14, 23-25 and 28 have been cancelled. Thus, claims 1-6, 8-10, 12, 13, 15, 17-22, 26 and 27 are pending in the application. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested based on the following remarks.

Elections/Restrictions

The undersigned affirms the election of Group II claims 15, and 17-22. The remaining claims have either been cancelled or amended to depend from allowed dependent claim 17.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112(2)

Claims 15 and 22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is believed that the rejections should be withdrawn based on the amendments made above.

With regards to claim 15, the cited limitation “via one or more Sentry Glass Plus Ionplast Interlayers” has been removed.

With regards to claim 22, the term “may be” has been changed to “are configured to.”

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

Claim 15 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Dlubak* (6,675,550).

Claim 15 has been amended to depend from allowed claim 17 and therefore the rejection is moot.

SUMMARY

Applicant believes that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the undersigned can be reached at the telephone number set out below.

Respectfully submitted,

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP



Quin C. Hoellwarth
Reg. No. 45,738

P.O. Box 778
Berkeley, CA 94704-0778
(650) 961-8300